arXiv:1510.03320v3 [hep-th] 20 Mar 2016

A Short Essay on Quantum Black Holes and Underlying Noncommutative Quantized Space-Time

Sho Tanaka*

Kurodani 33-4, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8331, Japan

Abstract

In our preceding paper: Where does Black-Hole Entropy Lie? - Some Remarks on Area-Entropy Law, Holographic Principle and Noncommutative Space-Time (2014) (see Introduction), we emphasized the importance of underlying noncommutative geometry or Lorenz-covariant quantized space-time towards ultimate theory of quantum gravity and Planck scale physics. We focused there our attention on the statistical and substantial understanding of Bekenstein-Hawking's Area-Entropy Law of black holes in terms of Kinematical Holographic Relation (KHR). KHR manifestly holds in Yang's quantized space-time as the result of kinematical reduction of spatial degrees of freedom caused by its own nature of noncommutative geometry and plays an important role in our approach without any recourse to the familiar hypothesis, so-called Holographic Principle. In the present paper, we find out a *unified* form of KHR applicable to the whole region ranging from macroscopic to microscopic scales in spatial dimension $d = 3$. We notice a possibility of nontrivial modification of Area-Entropy Law of Black Holes which becomes most remarkable in the extremely microscopic system close to Planck scale. We finally refer to the historical background of noncommutative geometry or quantized space-time.

*Em. Professor of Kyoto University, E-mail: st-desc@kyoto.zaq.ne.jp

1 Introduction

In our preceding paper, "Where does Black-Hole Entropy Lie? - Some Remarks on Area-Entropy Law, Holographic Principle and Noncommutative Space-Time" (2014) [1], hereafter referred as I, we emphasized the importance of underlying noncommutative geometry or quantized space-time such as Snyder's and Yang's Lorentz-covariant quantized space-time [2-5] towards ultimate theory of quantum gravity and Planck scale physics. We focused there most importantly our attention on the *statistical* and *substantial* understanding of Bekenstein-Hawking's Area-Entropy Law of black holes[6-9] in terms of Kinematical Holographic Relation (**KHR**) [10]. Indeed, As will be simply reviewed in scct.2, **KHR** manifestly holds in Yang's quantized space-time as the result of kinematical reduction of spatial degrees of freedom caused by its own nature of noncommutative geometry and plays an important role in our approach, without any recourse to the familiar hypothesis, so-called Holographic Principle.

In the present paper, first of all, we find out in sect.3 the following important fact that **KHR** given in I gets a simple and *unified* form equally applicable to the whole regions ranging from macroscopic to microscopic. As the result, the *novel* form of **KHR** enables us to reconsider Area-entropy law of black holes all over the regions from macroscopic to extremely microscopic (see sect 4) . And finally in sect.5 we notice an important possibility of the *nontrivial modification* of Area-Entropy Law of Black Holes, which becomes remarkable in the extremely microscopic black holes close to Planck scale.

The present paper is organized as follows. In sect.2, we first review the derivation of the *approximate* form of **KHR** mentioned above for the subsequent arguments. Sect.3 is devoted to our central concern in our present research, that is, in subsect.3.1 we notice first of all a novel and *unified* form **KHR**: $\mathrm{n_{dof}}(V_3^L) = ([L/\lambda] + 1)^2$ in place of the approximate form of **KHR** and in subsect.3.2 we statistically derive the entropy and mass of D_0 brane gas systems ranging from macroscopic- to microscopic- scales in d=3, under the full use of the nobel **KHR** mentioned above. Sect.4 is devoted to Schwarzschild black holes ranging from macroscopic to extremely microscopic scales in d=3, noticing there the existence and different behavior of two kinds of temperatures, $T_{H.R.}$ and T_S of black holes in both regions. In the final sect.5, "Concluding Arguments and Further Outlook," first we give the supplementary and summarizing arguments on two kinds of temperatures of black holes (subsect.5.1) and notice the possible limits of applicability of area-entropy law of black holes (subsect.5.2). Finally, in subsect.5.3, we simply refer to the historical background of

noncommutative geometry or theory of quantized space-time towards the ultimate theory of quantum gravity, recollecting the related works by W.K. Heisenberg, P.A.M. Dirac and H. Yukawa. Appendix A is devoted to the review of Yang's Quantized space-time.

2 Review of Kinematical Holographic Relation (KHR) and Area-Entropy Law of Black Holes in Yang's Quantized space-time

Let us briefly review here the derivation of **KHR** for the subsequent arguments. In I, we started with the Kinematical Holographic Relation (**KHR**) mainly for the *Macroscopic* system given in the following form

$$\textbf{KHR} \qquad n_{\rm dof}(V_d^L) = \mathcal{A}(V_d^L)/G_d, \tag{2.1}$$

that is, the proportional relation between $n_{\rm dof}(V_d^L)$ and $\mathcal{A}(V_d^L)$ with proportional constant G_d, where $n_{\rm dof}(V_d^L)$ and $\mathcal{A}(V_d^L)$, respectively, denote the number of degrees of freedom of any d dimensional bounded spatial region V_d^L with radius L in Yang's quantized space-time, and the boundary area of V_d^L in unit of Planck length.

First, the region V_d^L is defined on any d-dimensional quantized spatial coordinate operators,

$$\hat{X}_1^2 + \hat{X}_2^2 + \cdots + \hat{X}_d^2 = L^2. \tag{2.2}$$

As was shown in detail in I (section 3), the most important concept, the number of degrees of freedom of V_d^L, $n_{dof}(V_d^L)$ in Eq.(2.1), is found in a certain irreducible representation of $SO(d+1)$, a minimum subalgebra of Yang quantized space-time, which includes the above d spatial coordinate operators, $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \cdots, \hat{X}_d$ in Eq.(2.2) needed to properly describe V_d^L, and is really constructed by the generators $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}$ with M, N ranging over $a, 1, 2, \cdots d$.(See Appendix A) Let us denote the irreducible representation by $\rho_l(V_d^L)$ with the characteristic integer l which indicates the maximal eigenvalue of any generators, $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}$ of $SO(d+1)$. Then, $\mathrm{n_{dof}}(V_d^L)$ is reasonably identified with the *dimension* of $\rho_{[L/\lambda]}$, that is, $\mathrm{n_{dof}}(V_d^L) = \dim(\rho_{[L/\lambda]}(V_d^L))$.

According to the Weyl dimension formula applied to the irreducible representation of $SO(d+1)$, the dimension of ρ_l is given by

$$\dim(\rho_l) = \frac{l+\nu}{\nu}\ \frac{(l+2\nu-1)!}{l!(2\nu-1)!}, \tag{2.3}$$

with $\nu = (d-1)/2$ in the case $d \geq 2$. (see, more in detail [10])

One immediately finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{dof}}\ (V_d^L) \quad &= \dim\ (\rho_{[L/\lambda]}\ (V_d^L)) \\ &= \frac{2[L/\lambda]+d-1}{[L/\lambda]} \frac{([L/\lambda]+d-2)!}{([L/\lambda]-1)!(d-1)!} \\ &\sim \frac{2}{(d-1)!}[L/\lambda]^{d-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $[L/\lambda]$ denotes the nearest integer of L/λ and λ the short scale parameter in Yang's quantized space time (see Appendix A) and identified with Planck length in I and in what follows. The expression in the last line holds for macroscopic system with $[L/\lambda] \gg d$, which was considered in I.

Now, by comparing the above expression Eq.(2.4) with the boundary area of V_d^L in the unit of λ, that is, $\mathcal{A}(V_d^L)$ defined as Area of S^{d-1} with radius L/λ

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(V_d^L) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2}}{(d-2)!!}(L/\lambda)^{d-1} \qquad \text{for } d \text{ even} \\ &= 2\frac{(2\pi)^{(d-1)/2}}{(d-2)!!}(L/\lambda)^{d-1} \qquad \text{for } d \text{ odd}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

one finally arrives at **KHR** Eq.(2.1) with G_d given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_d \quad &\sim \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2}}{2}(d-1)!! \qquad for\ d\ even \\ &\sim (2\pi)^{(d-1)/2}(d-1)!! \quad for\ d\ odd \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for the *macroscopic* system with $[L/\lambda] \gg d$.

As was emphasized in I, the spatial structure of V_d^L is described through some specific representation $\rho_{[L/\lambda]}(V_d^L)$. Let us denote its orthogonal basis-vector system in the representation space, which we called Hilbert space I, as follows

$$\rho_{[L/\lambda]}\ (V_d^L): \quad \mid m \rangle, \qquad m = 1, 2, \cdots, n_{\mathrm{dof}}(V_d^L). \tag{2.7}$$

The labeling number m of basis vectors in "Hilbert space I," plays the role of classical *spatial coordinates* of the classical space inside V_3^λ and we called the point [*site*] or [*site m*].

It is easy to imagine that **KHR** Eq.(2.1) strongly suggests that the entropy of any statistical system realized in the spatial region V_d^L must be proportional not to the classical volume of V_d^L, but to the degrees of freedoms $n_{\mathrm{dof}}(V_d^L)(= \mathcal{A}(V_d^L)/G_d)$, namely, it yields a new

Area-Entropy Law. Indeed, in I, we derived the following form of a new *area-entropy relation* of black hole in a purely *statistical* way, through a simple D_0 brane gas model constructed in Yang's quantized space time

$$S_S(V_3^{R_S}) = n_{\rm dof}(V_3^{R_S}) S_S[site]. \tag{2.8}$$

Indeed, the relation was shown by Eq.(53) in I, and in the related argument we concluded that "$S_S[site]$ represents a kind of *universal* unit of entropy of black holes, which appears as the entropy realized on each individual [site] in any black hole, by taking a proper specific value,

$$S_S[site] = 4\pi\eta \tag{2.9}$$

and thus

$$S_S[site] = \pi \tag{2.10}$$

under Bekenstein parameter $\eta = 1/4$."

In the present paper, the above argument given in I focusing our attention on the area- entropy law of *macroscopic* black holes will be reconsidered in the final section from the viewpoint of *the limits of applicability* of Bekenstein-Hawking's Area-Entropy Law, on the basis of unified consideration of black holes ranging from the macroscopic to the extremely *microscopic* scales given in the next section.

3 Entropy $S(V_3^L)$ and Mass $M(V_3^L)$ of D_0 brane Gas Systems ranging from Macroscopic to Microscopic Scales in d=3

3.1 KHR in Yang's Quantized space-time with $d = 3$

Now, let us examine more in detail —**KHR** Eq.(2.1) together with Eq.(2.4), specifically in $d = 3$. First of all, we notice that there holds the following *simple* and *unified* expression

$$\mathrm{n}_{\rm dof}\ (V_3^L) = dim\ (\rho_{[L/\lambda]}\ (V_3^L)) = ([L/\lambda] + 1)^2 \tag{3.1}$$

without any approximation, and it enables us safely to investigate the structure of the *microscopic* system, together with the *macroscopic* system which was reviewed in the preceding section.

Indeed, in $d = 3$, the boundary area $\mathcal{A}\ (V_3^L)$ defined by "Area of S^2 with radius $[L/\lambda]$ is given by $4\pi([L/\lambda])^2$, so the relation (3.1) leads us to the following form of the kinematical holographic relation

$$\textbf{KHR} \qquad n_{\rm dof}(V_3^L) = ((\mathcal{A}(V_3^L)/4\pi)^{1/2} + 1)^2 \tag{3.2}$$

without any approximation and it reproduces the approximate expression **KHR** Eq.(2.1) with $G_3 \sim 4\pi$ for the macroscopic system.

Meanwhile, as was remarked at the end of the preceding section and will be discussed in the subsection 5.2 in the final section, one should notice that this exact form of **KHR** Eq.(3.2) has a possibility of causing *significant change* of Area-Entropy Law of Black Holes ranging from macroscopic to microscopic scales, by rewriting Eq.(3.1) in the following form

$$\textbf{KHR}' \qquad \mathrm{n_{dof}}\ (V_3^L) = \mathcal{A}(V_3^L)/4\pi + 2(\mathcal{A}(V_3^L)/4\pi)^{1/2} + 1. \quad (3.3)$$

3.2 Statistical Derivation of $S(V_3^L)$ and $M(V_3^L)$ based on KHR

According to I, let us consider the quantum system realized in Yang's quantized space-time, which constitutes of D_0-branes or D-particles [11]. As was done in I, D_0 brane gas system formed inside V_3^L is most likely described in terms of the *second-quantized field* of D_0 brane or D-particle defined in Yang' quantized space-time, V_3^L.

Corresponding to Eq.(2.7), the representation space of $\rho_{[L/\lambda]}\ (V_3^L)$, called "Hilbert space I" in I (in distinction to "Hilbert space II"), one finds

$$\rho_{[L/\lambda]}\ (V_3^L): \quad \mid m\ \rangle, m = 1, 2, \cdots, ([L/\lambda]+1)^2 (= n_{\mathrm{dof}}(V_3^L)). \quad (3.4)$$

Needless to say, under this representation, each spatial operators $\hat{X}_i's$ becomes expressed by $([L/\lambda]+1)^2 \times ([L/\lambda]+1)^2$ matrix like $\langle m \mid \hat{X}_i \mid n \rangle$.

Let us simply assume that the quantum states of D_0 branes of microscopic system are constructed in "Hilbert Space II," in a similar way, as was done for the macroscopic system in I. Indeed, we assume that even in the present *microscopic* system, D_0 brane gas model holds where all interactions among D_0 branes are ignored so that the statistical operator at each [*site* m], $\mathbf{W}[m]$ is *common* to every [site] and given in the following form,

$$\mathbf{W}[m] = \sum_k w_k \mid [m] : k \rangle \ \langle k : [m] \mid, \quad (3.5)$$

with

$$\mid [m] : k \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} (\mathbf{a}_m^\dagger)^k \mid [m] : 0 \rangle. \quad (3.6)$$

In the above expression, $\mathbf{a}_m^\dagger$ and $\mid [m] : k \rangle$ $(k = 0, 1, \cdots)$, respectively, denote creation operator of D-particle at [site m] (see I, section 4.1) and the normalized quantum-mechanical state in Hilbert space II with

k D_0 branes constructed by $\mathbf{a}_m^\dagger$ on $|\ [m]:0\rangle$, i.e., the vacuum state of $[site\ m]$. Namely, we assume that the D_0 brane gas system is under a static and equilibrium state with temperature T and the statistical operator at each $[site\ m]$ is common to every [site] with the common values w_k's :

$$w_k = e^{-\mu k/T}/Z(T), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$Z(T) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\mu k/T} = 1/(1-e^{-\mu/T}) \tag{3.8}$$

and μ denotes the average energy or effective mass of the individual D_0 brane in V_3^L.

The statistical operator of total system in V_3^L, $\mathbf{W}(V_3^L)$, is now given by

$$\mathbf{W}(V_3^L) = \mathbf{W}[1] \otimes \mathbf{W}[2] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{W}[(L/\lambda)+1)^2]. \tag{3.9}$$

Consequently, one finds that the entropy and the energy or effective mass of the total system, $S(V_3^L)$ and $M(V_3^L)$ are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(V_3^L) = -\mathrm{Tr}\ [\mathbf{W}(V_3^L\ \ln\mathbf{W}(V_3^L)] &= n_{\mathrm{dof}}(V_3^L) S[site] \\ &= ([L/\lambda]+1)^2 S[site] \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$M(V_3^L) = n_{\mathrm{dof}}(V_3^L)\mu\bar{N}[site] = ([L/\lambda]+1)^2\mu\bar{N}[site] \tag{3.11}$$

corresponding to Eq.(32) and Eq.(33) in I, respectively. In the above expressions, $S[site]$ in Eq.(3.10) denotes the entropy of each [site] assumed to be common to every [site] and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S[site] \quad &\equiv -\sum_k w_k\ \ln w_k = \frac{\mu\bar{N}[site]}{T} + \ln Z(T) \\ &= -\ln(1-e^{-\mu/T}) + \frac{\mu}{T}\ (e^{\mu/T}-1)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and $\bar{N}[site]$ in Eq.(3.11) the average occupation number of D_0 brane at each $[site]$

$$\bar{N}[site] \equiv \sum_k k w_k = (\ e^{\mu/T}-1)^{-1}. \tag{3.13}$$

At the end of this subsection, let us notice the following two relations

$$T = \quad \mu/\ln(1+\bar{N}^{-1}[site]) \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$S[site] = \ln(1+\bar{N}[site]) + \bar{N}[site]\ln(1+\bar{N}^{-1}[site]), \tag{3.15}$$

which are simply derived from Eq.(3.12) and Eq.(3.13), respectively.

4 Schwarzschild Black Holes ranging from Macroscopic to Extremely Microscopic Scales in d=3

Now, according to the consideration given in I, let us assume that the present D_0 brane gas system ranging from Macroscopic- to Microscopic-scales in $d = 3$, considered in the preceding subsection 3.2, transforms into a Schwarzschild black hole. Indeed, as was done in I, we assume that the relevant quantities acquire certain limiting values, such like μ_S, $\bar{N}_S[site]$ and $S_S[site]$, while the size of the gas system, L, becomes R_S, th.at is, the so-called Schwarzschild radius given by

$$R_S = 2GM_S(V_3^{R_S})/c^2, \tag{4.1}$$

where G and c denote Newton's constant and the light velocity, respectively, and $M_S(V_3^{R_S})$ is given by Eq.(3.11) with $L = R_S$, $\mu = \mu_S$ and $\bar{N}[site] = \bar{N}_S[site]$. Indeed, inserting the above values into (3.11), we arrive at the important relation, called hereafter the black hole condition BHC, that is,

$$\text{BHC} \quad \frac{M_S(V_3^{R_S})}{(2M_S(V_3^{R_S})/M_P + 1)^2} \ (= \frac{M_S(V_3^{R_S})}{n_{\rm dof}(V_3^{R_S})}) = \mu_S \bar{N}_S[site]. \tag{4.2}$$

In the last expression, we assumed that λ, i.e., the short scale parameter in Yang' quantized space-time (see Appendix A) is identified with Planck length $l_P = [G\hbar/c^3]^{1/2} = \hbar/(cM_P)$, where M_P denotes Planck mass. In what follows, we will use Planck units in $D = 4$ or $d = 3$, with $M_P = l_P = \hbar = c = k = 1$.

According to the above consideration, let us notice $S_S(V_3^{R_S})$ given through Eq.(3.10), that is,

$$S_S(V_3^{R_S})(= n_{\rm dof}(V_3^{R_S})S_S[site]) = ([R_S/\lambda] + 1)^2 S_S[site]. \tag{4.3}$$

Furthermore, it is important here to notice that, by using Eq.(3.15), one finds the following relation

$$S_S[site] = \ln(1 + \bar{N}_S[site]) + \bar{N}_S[site] \ln(1 + \bar{N}_S^{-1}[site]) \tag{4.4}$$

which shows the fact that $\bar{N}_S[site]$ gets some *universal* and fixed value, that is,

$$\bar{N}_S[site] \sim 1/0.12, \tag{4.5}$$

under $S_S[site] = \pi$ Eq.(2.10), that is, our basic assumption on $S_S[site] = 4\pi\eta$ Eq.(2.9) with $\eta = 1/4$.

4.1 Macroscopic Black Holes in d=3

Now, let us notice that the BHC (4.2) becomes for the *macroscopic scales of black holes* ($M_S(V_3^{R_S}) \gg M_P$)

$$\text{BHC} \quad M_S(V_3^{R_S}) = \frac{M_P^2}{4\mu_S \bar{N}_S[site]} \quad (\text{for } M_S(V_3^{R_S}) \gg M_P), \tag{4.6}$$

which reproduces Eq.(41) in I.

On the other hand, the above relation (4.6) leads us to the following *universal* relation for the *macroscopic black holes*

$$\mu_S M_S \sim 0.03 \quad (\text{for } M_S(V_3^{R_S}) \gg M_P) \tag{4.7}$$

in Planck units, on account of Eq.(4.5).

4.2 Two kinds of Temperatures of Macroscopic Black Holes, $T_{H.R.}$ and T_S in d = 3

As was once pointed out and argued in [12], one should notice here the fact that there exist two kinds of temperatures of black holes, $T_{H.R.}$and T_S.

The first one $T_{H.R.}$ is the familiar Hawking's radiation temperature, which is given by using Eq. (4.3) in the following way:

$$T_{H.R.}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dM_S} S_S(V_3^{R_S}) = \frac{d}{dM_S}([R_S/\lambda]+1)^2 S_S[site] \tag{4.8}$$
$$= 4\pi(2M_S + 1)$$

or

$$T_{H.R.} = 1/(4\pi(2M_S + 1)). \tag{4.9}$$

In the above derivation of Eq.(4.8) and (4.9), we assumed implicitly that $S_S[site]$ is independent of M_S and $S_S[site] = \pi$ according to the preceding arguments on the idea of *universality* of $S_S[site]$, given in connection with Eq.(2.9) and Eq.(2.10). Further, one should notice that the relations Eq.(4.8) and thus Eq.(4.9) are based on the relation $S_S(V_3^{R_S}) = ([R_S/\lambda]+1)^2 S_S[site]$ Eq.4.3). In this connection, we notice that $T_{H.R.} = 1/(4\pi(2M_S + 1))$ Eq.4.9) reproduces nicely the familiar result $T_{H.R.} = 1/(8\pi M_S)$ for the *macroscopic black holes* (see Eq.(48) in I). On the other hand, however, it implies a possibility of causing the *nontrivial* modification for the *extremely microscopic* black holes, as will be shown in the next subsects. 4.3 and 4.4.

The second one T_S is derived through Eq.3.14)

$$T_S = \quad \mu_S / \ln(1 + \bar{N}_S^{-1}[site]) \tag{4.10}$$

or

$$T_S = \quad \mu_S / \ln(1 + 4\mu_S M_S), \tag{4.11}$$

on account of Eq.(4.6).

For the macroscopic black holes, Eq.(4.9) and Eq.(4.7) show some *similarity* between the order of magnitudes of $T_{H.R.} \sim 1/(8\pi M_S) \sim 0.04/M_S$ and $\mu_S \sim 0.03/M_S$, that is,

$$T_{H.R.} \sim (0.04/0.03)\ \mu_S \sim 1.33\mu_S. \tag{4.12}$$

In contrast, one finds in this case

$$T_S \sim \quad (1/0.11)\ \mu_S \sim 9.09\mu_S \tag{4.13}$$

from Eq.(4.10) or Eq.(4.11). The physical implication of Eq.(4.12) and Eq.4.13) will be discussed at the end of the subsection 5.1 in comparison with the corresponding result of the extremely microscopic black hole given in the next subsects 4.3 and 4.4.

4.3 Extremely Microscopic Black Hole System in d=3

According to the argument given in the beginning of this section, now we consider the extremely microscopic black hole system with $R_S = L = \lambda$ or $[L/\lambda] = 1$ in d=3.

Let us denote hereafter the relevant quantities $M_S, T_{H.R.}, T_S$ and so on by attaching the tilde-mark such like $\tilde{M}_S$, showing their specific values proper to the extremely microscopic system.

First of all, in the extremely microscopic system, one finds

$$\mathrm{n_{dof}}\ (V_3^{\lambda}) = 4, \tag{4.14}$$

from Eq.(3.1), and correspondingly

$$\tilde{S}_S(V_3^{\lambda})(= n_{\mathrm{dof}}(V_3^{\lambda}) S_S[site]) = 4S_S[site], \tag{4.15}$$

$$\tilde{M}_S(V_3^{R_S(=\lambda)})(= n_{\mathrm{dof}}(V_3^{\lambda})\tilde{\mu}_S \bar{N}_S[site]) = 4\tilde{\mu}_S \bar{N}_S[site] \tag{4.16}$$

to hold, from Eq.(3.10) and Eq.(3.11), respectively. The latter relation is further constrained from Eq. (4.1) as

$$\tilde{M}_S(V_3^{R_S(=\lambda)})(= R_S/2 = \lambda/2) = 1/2 \tag{4.17}$$

in Planck units.

Then, from Eq.(4.16) combined with Eq. (4.5) and Eq. (4.17), one finds

$$\tilde{\mu}_S(=\tilde{M}_S(V_3^{\lambda})/(4\bar{N}_S[site])) = \frac{1}{8} \times 0.12 \sim 0.02. \tag{4.18}$$

Finally, let us notice that Eq.4.15) tells us that the entropy of *extremely microscopic black hole* is given by

$$\tilde{S}_S(V_3^{\lambda})(= n_{\rm dof}(V_3^{\lambda})S_S[site]) = 4S_S[site]) = 4\pi, \tag{4.19}$$

under $S_S[site]) = \pi$ Eq.(2.10).

4.4 Two kinds of Temperatures of Extremely Microscopic Black Hole, $\tilde{T}_{H.R.}$ and $\tilde{T}_S$ in d=3

Corresponding to the arguments given in subsect. 4.2, let us consider two kinds of temperatures, $\tilde{T}_{H.R.}$ and $\tilde{T}_S$ of extremely microscopic black hole system.

Firs of all, let us notice from Eq.(4.17)

$$\tilde{M}_S = 1/2. \tag{4.20}$$

With respect to $\tilde{T}_{H.R.}$, as was emphasized in subsect. 4.2, the expression $T_{H.R.} = 1/(4\pi(2M_S+1))$ given in Eq.(4.9) holds ranging from macroscopic to extremely microscopic system, so one immediately gets the following result

$$\tilde{T}_{H.R.}(= 1/(4\pi(2\tilde{M}_S + 1)) = 1/(8\pi) \sim 0.04 \tag{4.21}$$

on the basis of Eq(4.20).

On the other hand, according to Eq. (3.14), $\tilde{T}_S$ is simply given by

$$\tilde{T}_S = \tilde{\mu}_S/\ln(1 + \bar{N}_S^{-1}[site]). \tag{4.22}$$

By using $\bar{N}_S[site] \sim 1/0.12$ Eq.(4.5), one gets

$$\tilde{T}_S = \tilde{\mu}_S/\ln(1 + 0.12) \sim (1/0.11)\tilde{\mu}_S \sim 9.09\tilde{\mu}_S, \tag{4.23}$$

that is, the parallel result with Eq.(4.13). Further, by using the result Eq.(4.18), one finally arrives at the result

$$\tilde{T}_S \sim 9.09 \times 0.02 \sim 0.18. \tag{4.24}$$

5 Concluding Arguments and Further Outlook

5.1 Supplementary and Summarizing Arguments on Two kinds of Temperatures of Black Holes

Let us reconsider the arguments about two kinds of temperatures of black holes given in subsects.4.2 and 4.4. First, concerning subsect 4.2 devoted to macroscopic black holes, we note the following three relations

$$\begin{aligned} T_S/T_{H.R.}(\sim 9.09/1.33) &\sim 6.83, \\ T_{H.R.}(\sim (0.04/0.03))\mu_S) &\sim 1.33\mu_S, \\ T_S &\sim 9.09\mu_S. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Next, concerning subsect 4.4 devoted to the extremely microscopic black hole, we note the corresponding three relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_S/\tilde{T}_{H.R.}(\sim 0.18/0.04) &\sim 4.5, \\ \tilde{T}_{H.R.}(\sim (0.04/0.02)\tilde{\mu}_S) &\sim 2.00\tilde{\mu}_S, \\ \tilde{T}_S &\sim 9.09\tilde{\mu}_S. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

With respect to the marked difference between T_S and $T_{H.R.}$ as seen in (5.1) and (5.2), one should notice that T_S means the statistical and equilibrium temperature of D_0 brane gas (see Eq.(3.7)), that is, the temperature *inside of black hole*, while $T_{H.R.}$ is the thermodynamical temperature observed from *outside of black hole*.

We anticipate that the above arguments of possible existence and different behavior of two kinds of temperatures of black holes might be instructive for the forthcoming researches on formation and evaporation of black holes which may be closely related to the whole scales of black holes ranging from macroscopic to extremely microscopic.

5.2 The Possible Limits of Applicability of "Area-Entropy Law of Black Holes"

Finally, we reconsider our central concern, the *universality* of Area-Entropy Law of Black Holes. By applying **KHR**$'$ (3.3) to Eq.(3.10) which is derived through our simple D-particle gas model in section 3, we have

$$S(V_3^L) = (\mathcal{A}(V_3^L)/4\pi + 2(\mathcal{A}(V_3^L)/4\pi)^{1/2} + 1)S[site]. \tag{5.3}$$

For the *macroscopic* system, the first term on the right hand side becomes dominant term and the relation finally leads us to Bekenstein-Hawking area-entropy law of black holes under the assumption $S_S[site] = \pi$ Eq.(2.10).

On the other hand, one finds that for the *extremely microscopic* system, the relation Eq.(5.3) just leads us to Eq.(4.15) and Eq.(4.19) on account of $\mathcal{A}(V_3^{\lambda}) = 4\pi$. This fact, however, implies very importantly that for the black holes of *intermediate* scales between macroscopic and extremely microscopic, the second term on the right hand side of Eq.(5.3) has a possibility of causing the *significant correction term* proportional to $(\mathcal{A}(V_3^{R_S})/4\pi)^{1/2}$ to the familiar Bekenstein-Hawking's Area-Entropy Law.

We expect that such a possible *modification* of area-entropy law of black holes will shed a new light on the resolution of our serious question, Where does black hole entropy lie?[1] and related fundamental problems[6-9],[13].

5.3 Historical Background of Noncommutative Geometry or Quantized Space and Time

In association with the above argument about Area-Entropy Law problem, let us refer to another key problem towards ultimate theory of quantum gravity, that is, "Singularity Problem" in the local field theories, first disclosed by Heisenberg-Pauli ($\sim$ 1929). In this connection, we recollect H. Yukawa's "Theory of Elementary Domain" (1966) [14] whose preliminary version, "On Probability Amplitude in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics" (Talk in Japanese) started in the spring of 1934, stimulated by Dirac's idea of "Generalized Transformation Function" (g.t.f) (1933) presented in "The Lagrangian in Quantum Mechanics,"Phys. ZS. Sowj.**3** (1933)[15]. It means that the above Yukawa's Talk was done just in the midst of his struggle with "Meson Theory" (1934). Indeed, after one decade from "Meson Theory,"the Dirac's idea "g.t.f."was prominently referred in Yukawa's elaborate work "On the Foundation of the Theory of Fields (1942) [16]. Furthermore, after the subsequent "Quantum Theories of Non-local Fields" (1947 $\sim$), Yukawa finally arrived at the thought of "Atomistics and the Divisibility of Space and Time" (1966) [14] under a novel concept of *Elementary Domain* D, in association with the microscopic limit of Dirac's "Generalized Transformation Function."

Yukawa's "Theory of Elementary Domain" remained unaccomplished. However, he left the following impressive statement ($\sim$ 1978, in Japanese) [17]: "When we will proceed in this direction, we shall be after all faced with the problem of quantization of space-time $\cdots$. The resolution, however, must be all left in future."

Nearly in the midst of 1990's, in accord with Yukawa's anticipation, there appeared "tantalizingly" [18] *Noncommutative position coordinates of D-particles* in front of M-theory, that is, in quantum mechan-

ics of many-body system of D-particles[18-19]. According to Yukawa's viewpoint on "Second Quantization of Fields"[16], this fact strongly suggests the real existence of *noncommutative quantized space-time* behind D-particles or M-theory itself. Motivated by this fact, our present research started in the form "Space-time quantization and matrix model,"[20],[1] on the basis of the early works by H.S. Snyder and C.N. Yang (1942) [2-5], that is, "Lorentz-covariant quantized space-time." The historical background of their pioneering works in relation with W.K. Heisenberg was referred in I, according to R. Jackiw's comment [21].

In closing this short essay, let us note another important possibility of Yang's space-time algebra (YSTA, see Appendix A). Indeed, one should notice that YSTA is intrinsically equipped with the long scale parameter R, together with the short scale parameter λ which has been identified with Planck length in our present research so far. As was preliminarily pointed out in [22], R might be promisingly related to a *fundamental cosmological constant* in connection with the recent dark-energy problem.

We emphasize again the importance and the necessity of noncommutative geometry or more specifically Yang's quantized space-time towards ultimate theory of quantum gravity and Planck scale physics. It is our urgent task to reconstruct M-theory[19] in terms of noncommutative quantized space-time along this line of thought.

[1]Let us note that the central concept in our present approach, "Basis vector's set in Hilbert Space I,"that is, $\mid m \rangle' s$ $(m = 1, 2, \cdots, n_{\rm dof})$ in Eq.(2.7) plays the role of Yukawa's "Complete set of $D' s$." [14]

Appendix

A Yang's Lorentz covariant quantized space-time

Let us here briefly review the Lorentz-covariant Yang's quantized space-time [3, 4]. D-dimensional Yang's quantized space-time algebra (YSTA) was introduced as the result of the so-called Inonu-Wigner's contraction procedure with two contraction parameters, long R and short λ, from $SO(D+1,1)$ algebra with generators $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}$ [9] ;

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{MN} \equiv i(q_M \partial/\partial q_N - q_N \partial/\partial q_M), \tag{A.1}$$

which work on $(D+2)$-dimensional parameter space q_M $(M = \mu, a, b)$ satisfying

$$-q_0^2 + q_1^2 + \cdots + q_{D-1}^2 + q_a^2 + q_b^2 = R^2. \tag{A.2}$$

Here, $q_0 = -iq_D$ and $M = a, b$ denote two extra dimensions with space-like metric signature.

D-dimensional space-time and momentum operators, $\hat{X}_\mu$ and $\hat{P}_\mu$, with $\mu = 1, 2, \cdots, D$, are defined in parallel by

$$\hat{X}_\mu \equiv \lambda\ \hat{\Sigma}_{\mu a} \tag{A.3}$$
$$\hat{P}_\mu \equiv \hbar/R\ \hat{\Sigma}_{\mu b}, \tag{A.4}$$

together with D-dimensional angular momentum operator $\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}$

$$\hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \hbar \hat{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} \tag{A.5}$$

and the so-called reciprocity operator

$$\hat{N} \equiv \lambda/R\ \hat{\Sigma}_{ab}. \tag{A.6}$$

Operators $(\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{P}_\mu, \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{N})$ defined above satisfy the so-called contracted algebra of the original $SO(D+1,1)$, or YSTA :

$$[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu] = -i\lambda^2/\hbar \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \tag{A.7}$$
$$[\hat{P}_\mu, \hat{P}_\nu] = -i\hbar/R^2\ \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \tag{A.8}$$
$$[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{P}_\nu] = -i\hbar \hat{N} \delta_{\mu\nu} \tag{A.9}$$
$$[\hat{N}, \hat{X}_\mu] = -i\lambda^2/\hbar \hat{P}_\mu \tag{A.10}$$
$$[\hat{N}, \hat{P}_\mu] = i\hbar/R^2\ \hat{X}_\mu, \tag{A.11}$$

with other familiar relations concerning $\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}$'s omitted.

References

[1] S. Tanaka, Where does black-hole entropy lie? - Some remarks on area-entropy law, holographic principle and noncommutative space-time, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* (2014) **129**: 11, hep-th (gr-qc)1304.6581.

[2] H.S. Snyder, Quantized space-time, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 38 (1947).

[3] H.S. Snyder, The electromagnetic field in quantized space-time, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 68 (1947).

[4] C.N. Yang, On quantized space-time, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 874 (1947).

[5] C.N. Yang, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Elementary Particles*, pp 322-323 Kyoto (1965).

[6] J.D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, *Phys. R(1942)ev.* **D7**, 2333 (1973).

[7] J.D. Bekenstein, Do We Understand Black Hole Entropy?, Plenary talk at Seventh Marcel Grossman Meeting, Stanford University, July (1994), gr-qc/9409015;

[8] S.W. Hawking, Black hole explosions, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974).

[9] S.W. Hawking, Black holes and thermodynamics, *Phys. Rev.* **D13**, 191 (1976).

[10] S. Tanaka, Kinematical reduction of spatial degrees of freedom and holographic relation in Yang's quantized space-time algebra, *Found. Phys.* **39**, 510 (2009), hep-th/0710.5438.

[11] See, for instance, Ulf H. Danielsson, G. Ferretti and B. Sundborg, D-particle Dynamics and Bound States, *Int. Journal. Modern Physics* **A11**, 5463 (1996), hep-th/9603081.

[12] S. Tanaka, Kinematical Holographic Relation in Yang's Quantized Space-Time and Area-Entropy Relation in D0 Brane Gas System, hep-th/1102.3448.

[13] A. Strominger, Five Problems in Quantum Gravity, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **192-193,** 119 (2009), hep-th/0906.1313.

[14] H. Yukawa, Atomistics and the Divisibility of Space and Time, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. Nos. **37** and **38**, 512 (1966).

[15] P.A.M. Dirac, The Lagrangian in Quantum Mechanics, Phys. ZS. Sowj. **3**, 64 (1933).

[16] H. Yukawa, On the Foundation of the Theory of Fields, Kagaku (Science)**12**, 249-255, 282-286, 322-326(1942).

[17] S. Tanaka, From Yukawa to M-theory, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Hadron Spectroscopy, Chiral Symmetry and Relativistic Description of Bound Systems* (2003), hep-th/0306047.

[18] E. Witten, Bound States of Strings and p-Branes, *Nucl.Phys.* **B 460**, 335 (1995), hep-th/9510135.

[19] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, M theory as a matrix model: A conjecture, *Phys. Rev.* **D55**, 5112 (1997), hep-th/9610043.

[20] S. Tanaka, Space-time quantization and matrix model, *Nuovo Cimento* **B114**, 49 (1999), hep-th/9808064.

[21] R. Jackiw, Observations on noncommuting coordinates and on fields depending on them, *Annales Henri Poincare* **4S2**, 913 (2003), hep-th/0212146.

[22] S. Tanaka, Contracted representation of Yang's space-time algebra and Buniy-Hsu-Zee's discrete space-time, *Foundations of Physics Letters*, **19**, 567 (2006), hep-th/0511023.